



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,242	05/30/2001	Jitendra Singh Goela	51048-2 DIV (3568-33-000)	9573
21874	7590	07/28/2006	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief
(37 CFR 41.37)**

Application No.

09/870,242

Applicant(s)

GOELA ET AL.

Examiner

Walter B. Aughenbaugh

Art Unit

1772

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 22 May 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

Cathy Lam
CATHY LAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The subject matter of the second paragraph of Appellant's Summary (Brief, page 6) does not describe the subject matter of an independent claim; therefore, Appellant has not provided a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v).

In regard to the paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7 of the Brief, Appellant's characterization of "an isolation device" (therefore, one isolation device) as corresponding to items 50, 52, 54, 64 and 66 is contrary to the disclosure since items 50, 64 and 66 are disclosed as separate isolation devices (page 9, lines 8-9 and 21-22), so this characterization does not accurately explain the subject matter defined in independent claim 35. Also note Appellant's characterization of item 52 as "the outer wall portion 52 of the isolation device 50" (page 7, lines 5-6 and 10 of Brief) and as "the outer wall 52 of the isolation device" (page 7, line 7), which further contradicts the record established in the Summary (Brief, pages 6-7) as to which items are isolation devices. It is also unclear how isolation device 64 would be considered to be "adjacent the solid substrate or mandrel 42". In further regard to the paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7 of the Brief, the sentence beginning "The precursor gases..." and the sentence beginning "The open channel 58..." do not describe the subject matter of an independent claim; therefore, Appellant has not provided a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v). Appellant's characterization of item 36 as indicating "rotat[ion]" is contrary to the disclosure since item 36 is disclosed as a shaft (page 6, line 12), so this characterization does not accurately explain the subject matter defined in independent claim 35.

Walter B. Aughenbaugh
7/24/06

WBA